



## Cambridge International AS & A Level

---

**BUSINESS**

**9609/33**

Paper 3 Case Study

**October/November 2020**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 100

---

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

---

This document consists of **19** printed pages.

**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:**

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

**General Marking Guidance**

This mark scheme includes a summary of appropriate content for answering each question. It should be emphasised, however, that this material is for illustrative purposes and is not intended to provide a definitive guide to acceptable answers. It is quite possible that among the scripts there will be some candidate answers that are not covered directly by the content of this mark scheme. In such cases, professional judgement should be exercised in assessing the merits of the answer and the senior examiners should be consulted if further guidance is required.

Application marks are not awarded for repeating material from the case study. Application is rewarded for answering in the context of the case or by using the information in the case to help answer the question.

| Question  | Answer   |  |                                       | Marks  |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1   | <b>Analyse the benefits to C4T of its two social objectives (lines 5–7).</b> |  |                                       | <b>10</b>  |
|   | <b>Level</b>   | <b>Knowledge<br/>3 marks</b>   | <b>Application<br/>2 marks</b>        | <b>Analysis<br/>5 marks</b>  |
|   | 2  | 3 marks<br>Knowledge shown of social objectives and one or more benefits | 2 marks<br>Two or more points applied | 4–5 marks<br>Good use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of benefits |
|   | 1  | 1–2 marks<br>Knowledge shown of social objectives and / or benefit(s)    | 1 mark<br>One point applied           | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of theory/reasoned argument to explain the likely impact of benefits |
|   | 0  | No creditable content  |                                       |  |
| <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reward impacts on C4T as a business not, e.g. customers, unless link is made with impact on C4T.</li> </ul> <p><b>Knowledge:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Social enterprises and social objectives</li> <li>Views of government towards social enterprise</li> <li>Views of consumers towards social enterprise</li> <li>Can act as a USP</li> <li>Reference to social objectives can be used in marketing of products</li> <li>Attract employees</li> </ul> <p><b>Application:</b><br/>Two social objectives are to improve the environment of the city and provide jobs for the unemployed.</p> <p><b>Advantages:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grants and other benefits from government of Country A, such as original start-up finance used.</li> <li>Customers may enjoy buying from a ‘socially responsible’ business.</li> <li>Links between two social objectives and customer satisfaction</li> <li>Provides competitive advantage for bags, jewellery, laptop cases produced by C4T</li> <li>Local artist volunteers to help with product designs</li> </ul> |  |  |                                       |  |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 1        | <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reasoned chain of argument, e.g. Ongoing government support may lead to lower costs and more feasible expansion plans in future.</li> <li>• Increasing social and environmental awareness may lead to good brand reputation and positive publicity, leading to more sales</li> <li>• Socially and environmentally aware tourists may also see buying the products as helping the local community, leading to more sales.</li> <li>• Attract employees who wish to be part of such an active social enterprise therefore reducing recruitment costs. Plenty of individuals willing to work for C4T.</li> <li>• Local artist volunteers to help with product designs which reduces costs</li> </ul> |       |
| Question | Answer  | Marks |
| 2(a)     | <p><b>Refer to Table 1. Calculate the forecast profit margin for the reusable bottles.</b></p> <p><i>Note:</i><br/><i>Correct units required for full marks</i></p> <p>Operating profit = operating profit / sales revenue × 100<br/>(1 mark if no relevant calculations)</p> <p>Operating profit = 390 000 – 290 000 = (\$)100 000 (1)</p> <p><math>100\,000 / 390\,000 \times 100 = 25.64\% \text{ or } 26\% \text{ or } 25.6\%</math> (3)</p> <p>25.64 or 26 or 25.6 (2)</p> <p>OFR applies</p>  | 3     |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 2(b)     | <p><b>Refer to lines 44–49. Calculate the gearing ratio assuming the extra \$0.5m finance for the factory extension is raised through a bank loan.</b></p> <p><i>Note:</i><br/><i>Correct units required for full marks</i></p> <p>Gearing ratio = non-current liabilities / capital employed × 100 OR<br/>Gearing ratio = NCL / SC × 100 (1 mark if no relevant calculation)</p> <p>Non-current liabilities = \$3 m + \$0.5 m = \$3.5 m (1)<br/>Capital employed = \$5 m + \$0.5 m = \$5.5 m (1)</p> <p>Gearing = 3.5 m / 5.5 m × 100 = 63.6% or 64% (3)</p> <p>63.6 or 64 (2)</p> <p>3.5 m / 2 m = 175% (1)</p> <p>Other possible answers:<br/>\$3.5 m / \$5 m × 100 = 70% (2)<br/>\$3 m / \$5 m × 100 = 60% (1)<br/>0.7 or 0.7:1 (1)</p> <p>OFR applies</p> | 3     |

| Question | Answer   |                                       |  |   | Marks |                      |                        |                     |                       |   |  |                                       |  |   |   |                              |                             |  |   |   |                       |  |  |  |    |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|-------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|----|
| 2(c)     | <p><b>Refer to your results in 2(a), 2(b) and any other information. Recommend whether C4T should accept the offer from the venture capitalist. Justify your recommendation.</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="304 383 1318 1272"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="304 383 405 472">Level</th> <th data-bbox="405 383 633 472">Knowledge<br/>2 marks</th> <th data-bbox="633 383 860 472">Application<br/>2 marks</th> <th data-bbox="860 383 1088 472">Analysis<br/>4 marks</th> <th data-bbox="1088 383 1318 472">Evaluation<br/>4 marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="304 472 405 842">2</td> <td data-bbox="405 472 633 842">2 marks<br/>Two or more relevant points</td> <td data-bbox="633 472 860 842">2 marks<br/>Two or more points applied</td> <td data-bbox="860 472 1088 842">3–4 marks<br/>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the reasons for accepting / not accepting venture capital offer</td> <td data-bbox="1088 472 1318 842">3–4 marks<br/>Good judgement shown in answer</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="304 842 405 1211">1</td> <td data-bbox="405 842 633 1211">1 mark<br/>One relevant point</td> <td data-bbox="633 842 860 1211">1 mark<br/>One point applied</td> <td data-bbox="860 842 1088 1211">1–2 marks<br/>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the reasons for accepting / not accepting venture capital offer</td> <td data-bbox="1088 842 1318 1211">1–2 marks<br/>Some judgement shown in answer</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="304 1211 405 1272">0</td> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="405 1211 1318 1272">No creditable content</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="304 1308 379 1339"><b>Note:</b></p> <ul data-bbox="304 1344 1118 1413" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>L1 of AN and EVAL if only use results or other information</i></li> <li>• <i>OFR applies for results.</i></li> </ul> <p data-bbox="304 1447 464 1478"><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul data-bbox="304 1482 1307 1792" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Knowledge of venture capital and venture capitalists: individual(s) who invest typically in high risk / high reward business usually in return for an equity stake</li> <li>• Knowledge of factors that might be important – commitments that may need to be made to obtain venture capital finance</li> <li>• General comparison with bank loan finance</li> <li>• Other factors that might affect choice between VC and loan, e.g. interest rates</li> <li>• Involvement of VC would spread risk</li> </ul> |                                       |  |   | Level | Knowledge<br>2 marks | Application<br>2 marks | Analysis<br>4 marks | Evaluation<br>4 marks | 2 | 2 marks<br>Two or more relevant points | 2 marks<br>Two or more points applied | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the reasons for accepting / not accepting venture capital offer | 3–4 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer | 1 | 1 mark<br>One relevant point | 1 mark<br>One point applied | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the reasons for accepting / not accepting venture capital offer | 1–2 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer | 0 | No creditable content |  |  |  | 12 |
| Level    | Knowledge<br>2 marks   | Application<br>2 marks                | Analysis<br>4 marks  | Evaluation<br>4 marks                       |       |                      |                        |                     |                       |   |  |                                       |  |   |   |                              |                             |  |   |   |                       |  |  |  |    |
| 2        | 2 marks<br>Two or more relevant points   | 2 marks<br>Two or more points applied | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the reasons for accepting / not accepting venture capital offer | 3–4 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer |       |                      |                        |                     |                       |   |  |                                       |  |   |   |                              |                             |  |   |   |                       |  |  |  |    |
| 1        | 1 mark<br>One relevant point   | 1 mark<br>One point applied           | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the reasons for accepting / not accepting venture capital offer | 1–2 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer |       |                      |                        |                     |                       |   |  |                                       |  |   |   |                              |                             |  |   |   |                       |  |  |  |    |
| 0        | No creditable content  |                                       |  |   |       |                      |                        |                     |                       |   |  |                                       |  |   |   |                              |                             |  |   |   |                       |  |  |  |    |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 2(c)     | <p><b>Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comparison of figures from Appendix 1, Use of results, for example high gearing with bank loan finance, as increases from 60% to 63.64%</li> <li>• If the venture capitalist was used the gearing would drop to 54.5% (<math>3/5.5 \times 100</math>)</li> <li>• Venture capitalist would be entitled to 30% of annual profits, i.e. \$30 000</li> <li>• Encouraging forecast profit margin of 26%</li> <li>• Possible loss of control over future decisions for Kris and Andy</li> <li>• Comparison of the \$500 000 VC investment with the 30% of profits from the venture</li> </ul> <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This is a new project and quite high risk as it is a new product, and the investment is relatively significant</li> <li>• Involvement of VC would mean that C4T doesn't need to increase loan finance and consequent increase in debt interest. It eliminates any extra repayments.</li> <li>• Lower gearing ratio leaves company less vulnerable and may be potential for future loan finance</li> <li>• VC may bring expertise which may help the future success of C4T and increase profits</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Balance of evidence between accepting the VC proposal or further bank borrowing</li> <li>• What are owners' objectives now and for the future? Do they want to share in decision making?</li> <li>• Does VC have any relevant experience?</li> <li>• What might be the future for VC finance?</li> <li>• Accuracy of forecast data?</li> <li>• Encouraging company progress and growth to date, do they want to share future profits as venture capitalist will take 30%?</li> </ul> |       |



| Question   | Answer  |                                       |  |   | Marks     |                               |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 3  | <b>C4T plans to launch souvenir reusable bottles made from recycled plastic. Evaluate the importance of C4T's brand image for the success of this launch.</b> |                                       |  |   | <b>16</b> |                               |
|  | <b>Level</b>  | <b>Knowledge<br/>2 marks</b>          | <b>Application<br/>2 marks</b>   | <b>Analysis<br/>6 marks</b>                 |           | <b>Evaluation<br/>6 marks</b> |
| 2  | 2 marks<br>Two or more points made  | 2 marks<br>Two or more points applied | 4–6 marks<br>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the likely importance | 4–6 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer |           |                               |
| 1  | 1 mark<br>One point made  | 1 mark<br>One point applied           | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain likely importance     | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer |           |                               |
| 0  | No creditable content   |                                       |  |   |           |                               |
| <p><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Understanding of branding as part of promotion in launch marketing strategy, link with product and consumer perception</li> <li>• Links with customer relationship marketing</li> <li>• Marketing strategy, including budget, objectives, integrated marketing mix</li> <li>• Use of ecommerce and website, social media</li> </ul> <p><b>Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• C4T are involved in a very new market, hence marketing is vital.</li> <li>• Nature of brand, social enterprise objectives link</li> <li>• Consumer perception – low-quality? Green?</li> <li>• Target market? Link with existing product range</li> <li>• References to other parts of C4T marketing strategy</li> <li>• Premium magazines</li> <li>• Target market of C4T: 'socially responsible' consumers</li> <li>• Bottles to be sold to tourists: C4T slogan and flag</li> <li>• Promotion could be used at airports and hotels</li> </ul> <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development of why branding may be important in launch or not – will it attract more customers?</li> <li>• Branding will help differentiate the reusable bottle from competitor offering making it more competitive in the market and possibly giving control over pricing</li> </ul> |   |                                       |  |   |           |                               |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 3        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How might the use of social media communicate C4T brand message effectively, impact on sales</li> <li>• Possible impacts on company image and reputation</li> <li>• Promotion within the launch could be used at airports and hotels as the product is aimed at tourists</li> <li>• Place: distribution through retailers at airports and hotels to attract tourists</li> <li>• B2B likely to be important and this would require C4T to use sales team to contact businesses such as airports and hotels</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Future use of brand image and the need to keep up with new developments</li> <li>• Judgement as to how important branding may be – what else may be more important?</li> <li>• Success may depend, to some extent, on Country A's tourist potential.</li> <li>• Will people need to be convinced of disadvantages of 'throw away' plastic bottles? Could social media play a role?</li> </ul> |       |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 4(a)(i)  | <p><b>Refer to Table 2. Calculate the:</b></p> <p><b>forecast total contribution from the proposed special order of laptop cases</b></p> <p><i>Note:</i><br/><i>Correct units required for full marks</i></p> <p>Total contribution = Contribution per unit × total sold<br/>(1 if no relevant calculations)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Contribution per unit = selling price – variable cost<br/>(1 if no relevant calculations)</p> <p>Variable cost per unit = <math>18\,000/9\,000 + 13\,500/9\,000 = 2 + 1.50 = 3.50</math> (1)</p> <p>Contribution per unit = <math>5 - 3.50 = 1.50</math> (2)</p> <p>Total contribution = <math>\\$1.50 \times 5\,000</math> (3)<br/>= <math>\\$7\,500</math> (5)</p> <p>7500 (4)</p> <p>Alternative approach:<br/>Total revenue – total variable costs = total contribution<br/>(1 if no relevant calculation)</p> <p>Revenue = <math>5 \times 5\,000 = (\\$)25\,000</math> (1)</p> <p>Total variable costs = <math>5\,000(2 + 1.5) = (\\$)17\,500</math> (1)</p> <p>Total contribution = <math>25\,000 - 17\,500</math> (3)<br/>= <math>\\$7\,500</math> (5)</p> <p>OFR applies</p> | 5     |

| Question | Answer   | Marks    |
|----------|--|----------|
| 4(a)(ii) | <p><b>forecast 2021 capacity utilisation for laptop cases, without the special order.</b></p> <p><i>Note:</i><br/><i>Correct units required for full marks</i></p> <p>Capacity utilisation (%) = current output / max output × 100<br/>(1 mark if no calculation)</p> <p>Capacity utilisation without special order is <math>9000/12\,000 \times 100</math> (1)<br/>= 75% (3)<br/>= 75 (2)</p> <p>New with special order <math>9000 + 5000 / 12\,000 = 116.67\%</math> over full capacity (1)</p> <p>OFR applies</p> | <b>3</b> |

| Question   | Answer  |                                |  |   | Marks     |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|---|-----------|
| 4(b)   | <b>Refer to your results from 4(a) and any other information. Recommend whether C4T should accept the special order. Justify your recommendation.</b> |                                |  |   | <b>12</b> |
| <b>Level</b>   | <b>Knowledge<br/>2 marks</b>  | <b>Application<br/>2 marks</b> | <b>Analysis<br/>4 marks</b>  | <b>Evaluation<br/>4 marks</b>                               |           |
| 2  | 2 marks<br>Two points   | 2 marks<br>Two points applied  | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain why the order should / should not be accepted | 3–4 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion. |           |
| 1  | 1 mark<br>One point made  | 1 mark<br>One point applied    | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain why the order should/should not be accepted   | 1–2 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion. |           |
| No creditable content  |   |                                |  |   |           |
| <i>Note:</i>   |   |                                |  |   |           |
| <i>L1 of AN and EVAL if only use results or other information.</i>   |   |                                |  |   |           |
| <b>Knowledge</b>   |   |                                |  |   |           |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meaning of ‘special order’ in general, i.e. ‘one-off’ factors in making the decision:</li> <li>• Available capacity / capacity utilisation</li> <li>• Unit and total contribution to fixed costs</li> <li>• Impact on profit</li> <li>• Possibility of future orders</li> <li>• Impact on production of other products</li> </ul>   |   |                                |  |   |           |
| <b>Application</b>   |   |                                |  |   |           |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reference to and application of results</li> <li>• Contribution is \$7500</li> <li>• Additional revenue of \$25 000</li> <li>• Current spare capacity 3000</li> <li>• Capacity utilisation 75%</li> <li>• Capacity utilisation with special order will be 116.67%, so over full capacity</li> <li>• Reference to nature of special order and other impacts, such as building a relationship with another company</li> <li>• Reference to other points from the text, including ‘social enterprise’ objectives</li> <li>• Reference to need to use resources from other departments as 116.67% capacity utilisation, but employees specialise in own departments.</li> </ul> |   |                                |  |   |           |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 4(b)     | <p><b>Analysis</b><br/>Analysis of reasons why order should / should not be accepted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Special order will add to profit, but could more have been added if products were sold at usual selling price of \$7 (an additional \$2 per unit)</li> <li>• Impact on existing customers. As order will take C4T overcapacity then may be unable to meet existing customer needs resulting in dissatisfied customers and therefore loss of future sales</li> <li>• Special order may lead to future orders which will increase sales and profit</li> <li>• Impact of need for high productivity levels different contracts needed in new factory</li> <li>• C4T may be able to reorganise workforce and production to meet the new order and other forecast orders, e.g. by working overtime or getting in temporary staff to increase capacity.</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recommendation with supporting argument</li> <li>• \$7500 contribution will only add to profit if other forecast orders can also be met</li> <li>• Short/long term impact on C4T?</li> <li>• To what extent might special order lead to more sales in future?</li> </ul> |       |

| Question   | Answer  |                                       |  |  | Marks                         |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 5  | <b>Evaluate the importance of workforce planning to C4T's future success.</b> |                                       |  |  | <b>16</b>                     |
|  | <b>Level</b>  | <b>Knowledge<br/>2 marks</b>          | <b>Application<br/>2 marks</b>   | <b>Analysis<br/>6 marks</b>                                | <b>Evaluation<br/>6 marks</b> |
| 2  | 2 marks<br>Two or more points made  | 2 marks<br>Two or more points applied | 4–6 marks<br>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the likely importance | 4–6 marks<br>Good judgement shown in answer and conclusion |                               |
| 1  | 1 mark<br>One point made  | 1 mark<br>One point applied           | 1–3 marks<br>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain likely importance     | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion |                               |
| 0  | No creditable content   |                                       |  |  |                               |
| <p><b>Note:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Importance must be to C4T.</i></li> <li>• <i>If comment made on impact on employees, then this should be linked to what it may mean for the business.</i></li> </ul> <p><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Definition and importance of workforce planning, e.g. looking at future business needs to identify workforce skills and needs. Provides a plan for recruitment needs.</li> <li>• Helps determine types of employee contracts required based on workforce needs</li> <li>• Link between employee performance and workforce planning</li> <li>• Link between workforce planning and employee training</li> <li>• Planning where and how employees are to be used</li> </ul> <p><b>Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• C4T workers may well be in 'first job'</li> <li>• Nature of work may be relatively low skilled, but opportunities in design and/or ideas?</li> <li>• Organic nature of business growth has led to lack of planning</li> <li>• Reference to quality issues from the case – reject products, wasted resources</li> <li>• Training is important for the young workers in this social enterprise</li> <li>• Need for more flexibility in both old and extended factory</li> <li>• Reference to new factory – maybe more automation, different skills required, need for high productivity levels</li> <li>• Likely to be labour intensive operations</li> <li>• Special order requires reorganising production workers</li> </ul> |   |                                       |  |  |                               |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 5        | <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identification of workforce needs in terms of numbers and skills will help C4T operations be efficient and ensure that there is no shortage of workers with the right skills which would otherwise disrupt production and lead to delays.</li> <li>• New extension will require more workers, possibly with different skills, so C4T needs to plan for this change to avoid disruption</li> <li>• Possible workforce planning approaches can be developed, e.g. the mix of flexible versus permanent contracts required and how this might reduce costs.</li> <li>• Need for high productivity levels means that different contracts which offer greater flexibility needed in new factory.</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Essential to organise workforce better to improve future performance in terms of operations</li> <li>• Workforce planning can only be effective if linked in closely with the firm's long-term objectives</li> <li>• Without a workforce plan C4T may fail to meet customer demands</li> <li>• Meaning of performance in this social enterprise context:</li> <li>• C4T objectives will be important, e.g. employ as many people as possible or maximise productivity</li> </ul> |       |



| Question   | Answer                                     |  |  |   | Marks |
|--|--|--|--|---|-------|
| <b>Questions 6 and 7 use the following marking grid.</b> |  |  |  |   |       |
| Level  | Knowledge<br>3 marks                       | Application<br>3 marks                     | Analysis<br>4 marks  | Evaluation<br>10 marks  |       |
| 3  |  |  |  | 7–10 marks<br>Good judgement throughout with well supported conclusion/ recommendations focused on the case   |       |
| 2  | 3 marks<br>Good knowledge shown of factors | 3 marks<br>Points well applied to the case | 3–4 marks<br>Good use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages or uses  | 4–6 marks<br>Some judgement shown in the main body of the answer and an attempt to support conclusion.<br><br>OR<br><br>Well supported conclusion/recommendation focused on the case. |       |
| 1  | 1–2 marks<br>Knowledge shown of factors    | 1–2 marks<br>Some application to the case  | 1–2 marks<br>Some use of theory / reasoned argument to explain the advantages and disadvantages or uses. | 1–3 marks<br>Some judgement shown in answer and conclusion.<br><br>Weakly supported conclusion.   |       |
| 0  | <b>No creditable content</b>               |  |  |   |       |

| Question | Answer   | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 6        | <p><b>Evaluate whether the data in Table 3 is sufficient for the managers of C4T as they make the strategic choice between option 1 and option 2.</b></p> <p><b>Knowledge</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meaning of strategic choice, link with strategic analysis and strategic management techniques.</li> <li>• SWOT and PEST, Porter’s 5 forces, Boston Matrix, Core competencies, vision and mission statements, as strategic analysis techniques when preparing for choice</li> <li>• Ansoff, force field analysis, decision trees as SC techniques</li> <li>• Understanding of SC techniques</li> <li>• DTA provides quantitative data on which to choose the option with the highest average profit</li> <li>• Force field analysis provides qualitative data on the decision</li> </ul> <p><b>Application</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Option 1 is probably a product development or market development and Option 2 diversification. Accept alternative interpretation if justified</li> <li>• Option 1 is further ‘social enterprise’ activity, whereas Option 2 may be seen as more profit motivated.</li> <li>• Use of the techniques/factors for two options – Table 3 data.</li> <li>• Option 2 has a higher capital cost (+\$300 000)</li> <li>• Option 1 has a higher probability of success (+15%)</li> <li>• Option 1 can be completed significantly quicker than Option 2 (8 months sooner)</li> <li>• Comparison of options using the Table 3 and the techniques. For instance, comparison of likely risks/returns</li> <li>• Government ban in 2022 of single-use carrier bags</li> <li>• Table 3 provides elements of Decision Tree Analysis and force field analysis</li> <li>• Reference to gearing as a factor affecting choice. Currently 60%.</li> </ul> <p><b>Analysis</b></p> <p>Building chains of arguments which demonstrate the usefulness and limitations of the data / SC techniques in Table 3 and other information / strategic management techniques that would be useful</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Decision Tree data:</li> <li>• Higher probability of success favours option 1 as it suggests there is a lower level of risk and this is supported by Ansoff (diversification v product / market development)</li> <li>• EMV shows the average monetary outcome for each option, as such, it focuses on profit and favours option 2 which is important to the decision as more profit may help C4T achieve its social objectives</li> <li>• Probability of success helps C4T assess risk. May prefer option 1 which has a higher probability of success and therefore less risk of failure. Minimising risk could help C4T ensure that it is able to continue to achieve its social objectives.</li> <li>• As option 1 can be implemented more quickly this will help with C4T’s cash flow. This may be important as C4T’s gearing is quite high, making bank borrowing riskier.</li> </ul> | 20    |

| Question | Answer  | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 6        | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Option 1's initial cost is lower. Therefore, it may be easier to finance and as C4T has high gearing lower initial cost is desirable.</li> <li>• (Lewin's) Force Field Analysis. Commentary on significance of driving and restraining forces:</li> <li>• High level of competition in market for luxury case will make success more difficult (reflected in 65% probability of success). This may require C4T to spend more on marketing or set lower prices to penetrate the market.</li> <li>• Shortage of recyclable materials could halt production of Bag4Ever project making the project unviable.</li> <li>• High added value of luxury travel cases will increase profit which is desirable as it enables C4T to fulfil its social objectives</li> <li>• How might SWOT, PEST and other SA techniques be used to find out where the company is now?</li> <li>• Techniques such as Ansoff's Matrix might help in comparing the options and making the choice as this highlights risk. Ansoff suggests that diversification is highest risk and this fits with option 2.</li> </ul> <p><b>Evaluation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluation/limitations of techniques</li> <li>• DTA only gives quantitative data. However, primary limitation concerns the accuracy of that data. Based on estimated probabilities and predicted outcomes. Aid decision making but cannot replace either the consideration of risk or the impact of qualitative factors on a decision</li> <li>• Ansoff does not account for market factors such as level of competition</li> <li>• Opinions may vary when developing FFA as to the driving forces and restraining forces. Subjective nature of the technique.</li> <li>• Very new market, many 'unknowns'</li> <li>• Other information that could be useful?</li> <li>• Investment appraisal</li> <li>• External factors</li> <li>• Data does not provide the worst-case scenario outcome within the DTA, i.e. will a loss or profit be made if the project 'fails'. Important to know if Kris and Andy are risk averse.</li> <li>• FFA doesn't include the numerical weighting of forces. (However, weighting is subjective)</li> <li>• Significance of management objectives and attitudes</li> <li>• Long- and short-term impacts</li> <li>• To what extent is information sufficient?</li> </ul> |       |